

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

Following the court’s order compelling Plaintiff Nicholas Steshenko to appear for a deposition as an expert witness,<sup>1</sup> Steshenko filed a motion to stay<sup>2</sup> and a motion for reconsideration.<sup>3</sup> The court DENIES Steshenko’s motion to stay the order pending resolution of the objection Steshenko submitted to Judge Seeborg.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. 893 at 1-2.

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. 895 at 1.

<sup>3</sup> See Docket No. 896 at 1.

<sup>4</sup> See Docket No. 894 at 1.

1           The court is sympathetic to potential prejudice to Steshenko, but recognizes that Steshenko  
2 too played a role in any delay of discovery.<sup>5</sup> The court therefore GRANTS Steshenko's motion to  
3 reconsider IN-PART. Defendants' deposition of Steshenko may not exceed three hours.

4 **SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: November 25, 2014

6   
7 PAUL S. GREWAL  
8 United States Magistrate Judge

27           

---

<sup>5</sup> See Docket No. 893 at 1-2.  
28